Agenda Date: 7/23/04 Agenda Item: 2C



STATE OF NEW JERSEY

Board of Public Utilities Two Gateway Center Newark, NJ 07102 www.bpu.state.nj.us

		DIVISION OF ENERGY
IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF ROCKLAND ELECTRIC COMPANY FOR APPROVAL OF CHANGES IN ELECTRIC RATES, ITS TARIFF FOR ELECTRIC SERVICES, ITS DEPRECIATION RATES, AND FOR OTHER RELIEF – PHASE II UPPER SADDLE RIVER SUBSTATION, DARLINGTON SUBSTATION, AND INCREMENTAL RELIABILITY PROGRAM)))))))	ORDER ADOPTING STIPULATION DOCKET NO. ER02100724
IN THE MATTER OF ROCKLAND ELECTRIC COMPANY'S ANNUAL SOCIETAL BENEFITS CHARGE FILING))	DOCKET NO. ET04040235

(SERVICE LIST ATTACHED)

BY THE BOARD1:

On March 3, 2004, Rockland Electric Company ("RECO" or the "Company"), a public utility of the State of New Jersey, filed a Phase II petition with the Board of Public Utilities ("Board") seeking approval of an increase in base rates for electric distribution service pursuant to N.J.S.A. 48:2-21 and N.J.A.C. 14:1-5.12. The petition sought additional revenues of \$3.1 million (or a 2.0% increase) based on total revenues calculated using current rates and the Company's sales for the twelve months ended December 31, 2003.

On April 2, 2004, RECO also submitted its annual Societal Benefits Charge ("SBC") filing, Docket No. ET04040235, to reconcile any over- or under-recovered balances recovered through the SBC and to provide for current program cost recovery ("SBC Filing").

¹ Commissioner Frederick F. Butler did not participate in the deliberation or vote on this matter.

The Company is a wholly owned subsidiary of Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc. ("O&R"), a New York utility. O&R is a subsidiary of Consolidated Edison, Inc. ("CEI"). RECO provides electric distribution service to approximately 70,000 customers in portions of Bergen, Passaic and Sussex Counties.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On October 1, 2002, the Company filed its petition in Docket No. ER02100724, requesting an increase in its base electric rates and charges. Among other things, RECO requested inclusion in rate base of certain costs associated with the Upper Saddle River and Darlington Substation projects, as well as costs associated with new and/or enhancements to various reliability programs. Public hearings were held on February 10, 2003 and March 19, 2003 in Montvale.

At the conclusion of comprehensive evidentiary hearings at the Office of Administrative Law ("OAL") and after receipt of an Initial Decision from an Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ"), Exceptions and Reply Exceptions thereto on July 31, 2003 the Board issued a Summary Order, which was followed on April 20, 2004 with a Final Decision and Order ("Final Order") deciding the Company's base rate case filing as well as its deferred balance filing. The Board established adjusted base rates effective August 1, 2003 for the Company, reflecting a reduction in revenues of \$7.217 million, a 5.3% decrease. Although the Board disallowed the costs associated with the Upper Saddle River and Darlington Substation projects as well as costs associated with the reliability projects because these costs were not sufficiently known or measurable at that time, it authorized RECO to make a Phase II filing not later than September 1, 2004 to address these expenses and the associated flow through impacts. Summary Order at 4; Final Order at 61-62, 65. The Board left the rate case docket open for this purpose.

In accordance with the Summary and Final Orders, on March 3, 2004, the Company filed the Phase II petition requesting a base rate increase of \$3.1 million (or 2.0%) based on total revenues calculated using current rates and the Company's sales for the twelve months ended December 31, 2003. The Board retained jurisdiction over the matter.

A prehearing conference was held on June 9, 2004. Representatives of the Company, the Division of the Ratepayer Advocate ("RPA") and Board Staff (collectively, "the parties") participated. There were no intervenors in this proceeding. Evidentiary hearings were scheduled for August 25, 26, and 27, 2004 before Commissioner Carol J. Murphy. In addition, discovery deadlines were established and the parties agreed to continue to meet to discuss a settlement of this matter.

Pursuant to the schedule established at the pre-hearing conference, an additional public hearing was held on July 7, 2004 in Montvale at which time no members of the public appeared.

Discovery was provided by the Company and settlement discussions were held between the parties. After extensive discussions, the parties reached a settlement on all issues in the Phase II rate proceeding ("Stipulation" or "settlement").

² <u>I/M/O the Verified Petition of Rockland Electric Company for the Recovery of Its Deferred Balances and the Establishment of Non-Delivery Rates Effective August 1, 2003, BPU Docket No. ER02080614.</u>

STIPULATION

As set forth in the attached Stipulation, the Parties agreed as follows:3

- RECO's Phase II filing was consistent with and satisfied the requirements of the Final Order. It resolves all Phase II issues as identified in the Final Order. (Stipulation numbered paragraph 1).
- RECO's total rate base resulting from this proceeding will be \$128,549,000. (Stipulation numbered paragraph 2).
- A revenue increase of \$2.7 million, or 1.7%, over present revenues is appropriate and necessary to ensure that the Company will continue to provide safe, adequate and proper electric distribution service to its customers. This Stipulation allows the Company to recover the costs of the Upper Saddle River and Darlington Substation projects and the added expenditures related to increased reliability measures. The determination of the revenue requirements is reflected on Schedule A to the settlement. (Stipulation numbered paragraph 2).
- The revenues and rates resulting from the Stipulation reflect application of all the Board's determinations in the Final Order, including those regarding rate of return and capital structure. (Stipulation numbered paragraph 3)
- The \$2.7 million base rate increase will become effective the same day the Company implements a Transition Bond Charge ("TBC") consistent with the securitization transaction approved in the Board's May 26, 2004 Bondable Stranded Rate Order in Docket No. EF02110852. ("Effective Date"). (Stipulation numbered paragraph 4).
- Petitioner's April 2, 2004 annual SBC filing, requesting a decrease in the SBC rate, shall be implemented on a provisional basis, subject to refund and interest pending a full and complete review of the filing by the parties to that matter. (Stipulation numbered paragraph 6).
- By implementing the base rate increase and the provisional SBC decrease authorized by the Stipulation on the Effective Date (i.e. concurrently with securitization) customers will experience only one rate change, which will result in an overall rate decrease. (Stipulation numbered paragraphs 4 and 6).
- RECO agrees to implement an outage restoration pilot service performance
 measure ("SPM") pilot program whereby customers will receive a \$25 bill credit if
 deprived of service for more than 24 hours as a result of a power failure
 attributable to malfunctions in RECO's local distribution system. However, the
 SPM will not apply when customer outages occur in conjunction with outages
 that occur anywhere on the Orange & Rockland ("O&R") system, including
 RECO's system, due to weather events that can be declared as major storms (as
 defined under New York and New Jersey's reliability reporting criteria),
 catastrophic events and other acts of God, events caused by third parties. labor

³ This is intended as a summary of the settlement. The full settlement agreement controls.

disputes, strikes, outages on the bulk power system caused by transmission, generation or other causes including supply shortages and acts of war or terrorism and other intentional torts. Also excluded are requests for service interruption by outside agencies, including, but not limited to, police departments, fire departments, and regional power coordinating councils. Additionally, RECO's liability under the outage restoration program is capped at \$25,000. (Stipulation numbered paragraph 5, attachment Schedule B).

DISCUSSION AND FINDINGS

At the outset, it must be recognized that as a result of (1) the provision of the Stipulation whereby RECO has agreed that the \$2.7 million rate increase will not become effective until the Effective Date: (2) RECO's success in the last Basic Generation Service ("BGS") auction in securing BGS for its customers at a reasonable price; and (3) a small decrease in RECO's Societal Benefits Charge approved on a provisional basis, subject to refund and interest as provided herein; the average residential customer using 925 kilowatt hours (kwh) per month will in fact experience an annualized rate decrease of 0.70%.

In addition, the settlement recognizes the importance this Board has placed on providing customers with reliable utility service both by RECO's implementation of new and enhanced reliability programs and agreement to implement an outage restoration service performance pilot measure. As indicated in the Settlement, under the terms of the stipulated SPM Credit Pilot Program, the Board's regulatory authority shall not be limited with respect to any service interruptions to RECO's customers, nor shall the Board be precluded from investigating any outages, ordering appropriate remedies, or adopting any other measures with respect to service reliability, including, but not limited to, outage restoration, that it may deem appropriate. Moreover, the SPM Credit does not in any way limit any RECO customer's right to pursue any legal remedy it believes is available with respect to a specific outage occurrence affecting said customer. Additionally, although the Board encourages increased emphasis on the development of outage performance measures, pursuant to the Stipulation, the specific terms of this SPM Pilot Program are limited to the specific facts of this Stipulation and shall not be deemed precedential for any future program for this or any other utility. Finally, RECO has agreed and is **HEREBY DIRECTED** to provide to the Board and to the signatory parties an annual report providing a brief summary of each incident that triggers an SPM credit as well as the total annual SPM credits provided to customers.

Having reviewed the Stipulation and the Initial Decision, the Board is satisfied and, <u>HEREBY FINDS</u>, that the Stipulation represents a fair and reasonable resolution of the issues and is in the public interest. The Board recognizes that the proposed Stipulation is the result of intense negotiations among the parties and is responsive to and balances the respective needs of both the utility and its customers.

The Board <u>FURTHER FINDS</u> that: (1) the Parties have voluntarily agreed to the Stipulation; and (2) the Stipulation fully disposes of all issues in controversy and is consistent with the law.

Accordingly, the Board <u>HEREBY ADOPTS</u> the attached Stipulation as its own, incorporating by reference its terms and conditions as if fully set forth herein. The rates authorized herein shall be implemented for service rendered on and after the Effective Date. The Board <u>HEREBY DIRECTS</u> the Parties to comply with the terms and conditions incorporated within the Stipulation.

The Board <u>HEREBY FURTHER DIRECTS</u> the Company to file revised tariff sheets reflecting the terms and provisions of this Order within ten (10) business days of the issuance of this Order.

DATED: 7/23/04

BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

BY:

RESIDENT

COMMISSIONER

CONNIE O. HUGHES **COMMISSIONER**

ATTEST:

KRISTI IZZO **SECRETARY**

SERVICE LIST

I/M/O OF THE VERIFIED PETITION OF ROCKLAND ELECTRIC COMPANY FOR APPROVAL OF CHANGES IN ELECTRIC RATES, ITS TARIFF FOR ELECTRIC SERVICE, ITS DEPRECIATIONS RATES, AND FOR OTHER RELIEF-- PHASE II UPPER SADDLE RIVER SUBSTATION, DARLINGTON SUBSTATION, AND INCREMENTAL RELIABILITY PROGRAM BPU DOCKET NO. ER02100724

And

IN THE MATTER OF ROCKLAND ELECTRIC COMPANY'S ANNUAL SOCIETAL BENEFITS CHARGE FILING BPU DOCKET NO. ET04040235

BPU STAFF

Dennis Moran,
Assistant Director
Division of Energy
Board of Public Utilities
Two Gateway Center
Newark, New Jersey 07102
PHONE: (973) 648-7664
FAX: (973) 648-2467
dennis.moran@bpu.state.nj.us

Alex Stern, Esq.

Counsel's Office Board of Public Utilities Two Gateway Center Newark, New Jersey 07102 PHONE: (973) 648-3089 FAX: (973) 648-2209 alexstern@bpu.state.nj.us

Jeff Slutzky, Esq., DAG

Department of Law & Public Safety
Division of Law
124 Halsey Street, 5th Floor
P.0. Box 45029
Newark, New Jersey 07101
PHONE: (973) 648-3709
FAX: (973) 648-3879
slutzjef@law.dol.lps.state.nj.us

Nanik Aswani

Division of Energy Board of Public Utilities Two Gateway Center Newark, New Jersey 07102 PHONE: (973) 648-2270 FAX: (973) 648-2467 ricky.john @bpu.state.nj.us

*Alice Bator

Division of Energy Board of Public Utilities Two Gateway Center Newark, New Jersey 07102 PHONE: (973) 648-2448 FAX: (973) 648-7420 alice.bator@bpu.state.nj.us

*Seema M. Singh, Esq.

Acting Director & Ratepayer Advocate Division of Ratepayer Advocate 31 Clinton Street, 11th Floor P.O. Box 46005 Newark, New Jersey 07101 PHONE: (973) 648-2690 FAX: (973) 624-1047 ssingh@rpa.state.nj.us

William Atzl

Consolidated Edison Co. of NY, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, New York 10003
PHONE: (212) 460-3308
FAX: (212) 228-9412
atzlw@coned.com

Sheila lannaccone

Division of Energy
Board of Public Utilities
Two Gateway Center
Newark, New Jersey 07102
PHONE: (973) 648-3705
FAX: (973) 648-7420
sheila.iannaccone@bpu.state.nj.
us

6

Kurt S. Lewandowski, Esq.

Division of Ratepayer Advocate 31 Clinton Street, 11th Floor P.O. Box 46005 Newark, New Jersey 07101 PHONE: (973) 648-2690 FAX: (973) 624-1047 klewando@rpa.state.nj.us

*James C. Meyer, Esq.

Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP Headquarters Plaza One Speedwell Avenue Morristown, NJ 07962 PHONE: (973) 538-0800 FAX: (973) 538-1984 jmeyer@riker.com

John L. Carley, Esq.

carleyi@coned.com

Consolidated Edison Co. of NY, Inc. 4 Irving Place New York, NY 10003 PHONE: (212) 460-2097 FAX: (212) 677-5850

STATE OF NEW JERSEY **BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES**

I/M/O the Verified Petition of Rockland: BPU Docket No. ER02100724 Electric Company for Approval of Changes in: Electric Rates, Its Tariff for Electric Services. : Its Depreciation Rates, and for Other Relief--Phase II Upper Saddle River Substation, : Darlington Substation, and Incremental:

Reliability Program

STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT

THIS STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT ("Stipulation") is made as of this 14th day of July 2004, by and among Rockland Electric Company ("RECO" or the "Company"), the New Jersey Division of the Ratepayer Advocate ("Ratepayer Advocate") and Staff of the Board of Public Utilities ("Staff") (collectively referred to herein as the "Signatory Parties").

On October 1, 2002, Rockland Electric Company ("RECO" or the "Company") filed a Verified Petition ("Petition") in the Company's base rate proceeding captioned above in Docket No. ER02100724 ("Base Rate Proceeding") requesting an increase in its base electric rates and charges. Among other things, RECO requested inclusion in rate base of the Upper Saddle River and Darlington Substation projects, and recovery of the capital and operating costs of proposed programs designed to increase the Company's reliability ("Reliability Program"). In its July 31, 2003 Summary Order (at 4, ¶10), superceded by its April 20, 2004 Final Decision and Order ("Final Order") (at 73), the Board of Public Utilities ("Board") established adjusted base rates effective August 1, 2003 for the Company, reflecting a reduction in revenues of \$7.217 million, a 5.3% decrease. At that time, the Board did not authorize recovery of the foregoing items in the adjusted rates due to the timing of those items. The Board, however,

authorized the Company to make a Phase II filing not later than September 1, 2004 to address the Upper Saddle River and Darlington Substation projects and the reliability enhancements and associated flow through impacts. Summary Order at 4, ¶8; Final Order at 61-62, 65.

On March 3, 2004, the Company submitted its "Phase II" filing in the abovecaptioned matter. The Company's Phase II filing consists of four pieces of prefiled testimony by five witnesses and revised tariff leaves. Mr. Regan's testimony addressed plant additions for the Upper Saddle River and Darlington Substation projects (together referred to as "Substation Projects") and the proposed Reliability Program. The Reliability Program is comprised of component programs for Vegetation Management, Lightning Protection, Distribution Automation, and Pole Inspection, Treatment and Replacement, that are new programs or enhancements to existing programs. The testimony of Paul M. Normand and Peter T. McGoldrick identified and classified the facilities constructed and installed for the Substation Projects, for which inclusion in rates is sought, as distribution related. Richard Kane's testimony addressed revenue requirements relating to the Substation Projects and proposed Reliability Program. Mr. Kane sponsored Exhibit P-1 (Revenue Requirement Summary), Exhibit P-2 (Electric Cost of Service), Exhibit P-3 (Electric Rate Base), and Exhibit P-4 (Capitalization and Cost of Capital). William Atzl's testimony addressed electric rate design and impact of the proposed rate changes on customers' bills. Mr. Atzl sponsored Exhibit P-5 (Present and Proposed Electric Rate Design).

The Company filed revised tariff leaves that propose to adjust the Company's rates and charges for sales of electricity to residential customers (Service Classification ("SC") Nos. 1 and 3 and 5), general service customers (SC Nos. 2 and 7), street lighting customers (SC No. 4) and private area lighting customers (SC No. 6).

In its Phase II filing, the Company stated that the increased rates and charges in the Company's tariff are designed to produce additional revenues of \$3.1 million (or a 2.0% increase) based on total revenues calculated using current rates and the Company's sales for the twelve months ended December 31, 2003. The Company claimed this additional revenue is necessary: to allow the Company to recover the costs of the plant additions for the Substation Projects, as well as the Company's Reliability Program, that are incurred to provide service to its customers; to enable the Company to earn the Board-approved rate of return on such capital investment, used and useful in supplying such service; and to enable the Company to provide safe, adequate and proper service to its electric customers. The Company subsequently submitted to the Signatory Parties updated Exhibits, including updates for actuals through June 2004 setting forth a revenue requirement of \$2.9 million.

After proper notice, public hearings on the base rate increase that was proposed in the Petition, inclusive of the increase attributed to the Phase II filing items, were held on February 10, 2003 and March 19, 2003, and an additional public hearing related to the Phase II filing was held on July 7, 2004, in Montvale, New Jersey.

After the Company's Phase II filing, the Ratepayer Advocate engaged consultants that have reviewed RECO's filing (including updates), the Ratepayer Advocate has propounded and the Company has responded to discovery requests, the Ratepayer Advocate has inspected company documents, and representatives of the Signatory Parties exchanged information during discovery conferences. Board Staff has reviewed the Phase II filing (including updates) and discovery and actively participated in discovery conferences. The information included in the Phase II filing and exchanged in informal discovery: set forth capital and operating costs of the Substation Projects and the Reliability Program; confirmed that the Upper Saddle River

Substation was energized in February 2004 and the Darlington Substation was energized in June 2004; and confirmed that the Reliability Program (whether new programs or enhancements to existing programs) has been implemented.

Against this backdrop, the Signatory Parties have engaged in discussions to address issues raised by the Staff and the Ratepayer Advocate and to attempt to settle and resolve the Phase II rate matter. In doing so, the Signatory Parties have reviewed the detailed prefiled testimony, the evidentiary record on the Substation Projects and reliability programs from the Base Rate Proceeding, the Phase II discovery responses, and information from Phase II discovery conferences. The Signatory Parties are also aware of the Board's concerns regarding reliability, expressed in the Board's Final Order (at 62) and in a variety of other dockets, investigations and forums, and have themselves expressed the goal of ensuring customers receive service that is safe, adequate, and reliable.

Given the completeness of RECO's Phase II filing and the intention of the Signatory Parties to reasonably, fully and finally resolve the Phase II matter, and in consideration of the recitals and mutual promises and covenants set forth herein, the Signatory Parties, having given due consideration to filed materials and information exchanged and the various issues addressed therein, DO HEREBY STIPULATE AND AGREE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. <u>Filing</u>. RECO's Phase II filing was consistent with and satisfied the requirements of the Final Order. This stipulation resolves all Phase II filing related-issues identified in the Final Order.
- 2. Revenue Requirements. The Company's total rate base resulting from the Phase II proceeding will be \$128,549,000. It is appropriate to provide RECO and RECO shall be provided an addition to annual distribution revenues of \$2.7 million (or 1.7 % of total revenues),

reflecting capital and operating costs associated with the Substation Projects, and the Reliability Program, and an adjustment to operating revenues to match RECO's increased number of customers, in order to ensure that the Company will continue to provide safe, adequate and proper electric service to its customers. The determination of the revenue requirements is reflected on attached Schedule A.

- 3. Rate of Return and Capital Structure. With the exception of the items discussed herein, the revenues and rates resulting from this Stipulation otherwise reflect application of all the Board's determinations in the Final Order, including those regarding rate of return and capital structure.
- 4. <u>Effective Date.</u> The revenue recovery and rates set forth herein shall become effective on the same day as the Company implements a Transition Bond Charge ("TBC") consistent with the securitization transaction approved in the Board's May 26, 2004 Bondable Stranded Costs Rate Order in Docket No. EF02110852 ("Effective Date"). By implementing the rate increase authorized by this Stipulation on the Effective Date (i.e., concurrent with the implementation of the TBC), RECO will promote rate stability. In the event that the securitization transaction does not occur due to unforeseen circumstances, the Signatory Parties agree to discuss the timing of the implementation of the revenue recovery and rates set forth in this Stipulation.
- 5. <u>Outage Restoration.</u> RECO agrees to implement the outage restoration service performance measure described in Schedule B hereto. RECO agrees to notify its customers of the outage restoration service performance measure on a quarterly basis through bill messages and/or bill inserts. RECO will not seek rate recovery of payments made to its customers pursuant to the outage restoration service performance measure.

- 6. Tariff/Rate Design. Upon Board approval of the Stipulation and receipt of written authorization from the Board, RECO shall file with the Board, with a copy to the Ratepayer Advocate, revised tariff leaves reflecting the additional revenue requirements approved herein in the form and reflecting the methodology set forth in the Phase II filing (while reflecting the Effective Date) within ten days of the issuance of the Board order. RECO has attached hereto as Schedule C a summary of the revised distribution rates it shall implement on the Effective Date.
- 7. Provisional SBC Rate. In addition, the Signatory Parties recommend that the Board implement on a provisional basis, subject to refund and interest, the decrease to the Societal Benefits Charge ("SBC") set forth in RECO's April 1, 2004 filing in Docket No. ET04040235 ("Company SBC Filing"), at the same time as it implements the revenue recovery and rates set forth herein. The Signatory Parties contemplate that the decrease will be implemented on a provisional basis, subject to refund with interest after a full review of the Company's SBC Filing in the related proceeding before the Board and, if transmitted thereto, the Office of Administrative Law ("OAL"), and after a final Board Order. It is specifically understood and agreed that this recommendation represents a negotiated compromise resolution that has been made exclusively for the purposes of this Stipulation. Although binding on the Signatory Parties with respect to the recommendation set forth in this Stipulation, the provisional SBC rate recommendation in total or by specific item, is in no way binding upon the Signatory Parties (or non-signatories) and is not to be considered or cited as precedent in favor of or against their respective positions on any issue in the Company's SBC proceeding, except to enforce the terms of this Stipulation. The Signatory Parties agree that the provisional SBC rate recommendation herein is without prejudice to the positions of the respective Signatory Parties

or of the Board with respect to any pending or future cases or other proceedings involving the Company, except as specifically set forth herein, and the Signatory Parties shall not be deemed to have approved, agreed to, or consented to any program, principle, methodology or amounts underlying or supposed to underlie the provisional SBC rate.

- 8. <u>Substation Projects</u>. The Substation Projects are necessary and proper for the provision of safe, adequate, and proper service. This agreement is without prejudice to the Signatory Parties' positions in connection with any future proceeding. With respect to the Stipulated revenue requirements, the levels of capital costs and operating expenditures (including depreciation) for the Substation Projects included in updated Exs. P-3 (page 2 of 5) and P-2 (page 3 of 5) as set forth in Schedule A to this Stipulation are reasonable.
- 9. Reliability Program. The Signatory Parties agree that it is reasonable and proper, in order to provide additional support to the provision of safe, adequate and proper service and to increase the reliability of service, for RECO to implement the Reliability Program. RECO has demonstrated that the Reliability Program has been implemented. The levels of capital costs and operating expenditures (including depreciation) for each component program included in updated Exs. P-3 (page 2 of 5) and P-2 (page 3 of 5) as set forth in Schedule A hereto are reasonable. These expenditures were not included for recovery in rates in the Board's Final Order and are above and beyond the level of operations, maintenance and depreciation expenses authorized therein. (See Ex. 3, page 4 of 12 to Final Order).

10. Reasonableness of Stipulation.

A. Reasonable Result. The revenue requirements are the result of a negotiation process with concessions by all parties. This Stipulation will allow the Company to recover the costs of plant and programs that will assist in the provision of safe, adequate and

proper service, and to foster an increased level of reliability. In addition, the Company's agreement to implement an outage restoration program with customer credits provides a further benefit to customers and should provide a higher level of customer service.

- B. Support For the Stipulation. The Stipulation is supported by the unanimous agreement of the Signatory Parties. The record in the initial phase of the Base Rate Proceeding, regarding the need for and nature of the Substation Projects and Reliability Program, including detailed testimony, exhibits and briefs, coupled with the Phase II filing, discovery, and detailed discovery conferences, provides substantial and credible support for this Stipulation, including the operating revenue adjustment for customers added since the Base Rate Proceeding. Given this support, and after extensive review of the Phase II filing and information exchanged in this matter, the Signatory Parties are executing this Stipulation to provide for a just, reasonable and efficient resolution of the Phase II proceeding. The Signatory Parties agree that this Stipulation represents a fair overall disposition that protects and promotes the interests of RECO's customers while allowing the opportunity for RECO's reasonable recovery of its costs incurred in the provision of service to customers.
- C. <u>Voluntariness</u>. The Signatory Parties agree that this Stipulation is voluntary, consistent with law, and fully dispositive of the issues addressed herein.
- 11. <u>Expeditious Board Approval</u>. The Signatory Parties agree that the Board should issue an Order that adopts this Stipulation and thereby: (1) authorizes RECO to increase its electric distribution rates to achieve an additional \$2.7 million in revenues; (2) approves such rates for electric service as just and reasonable, to become effective on and after the Effective Date; and (3) approves the proposed distribution rates for inclusion in RECO's Tariff P.U.C. No. 2 Electricity, to become effective on and after the Effective Date. Each Signatory Party agrees to

use its best efforts to ensure the Stipulation is submitted in a timely fashion and to urge the Board to issue its approval of the Stipulation without modification or condition.

- 12. <u>Waiver of Rights of Appeal</u>. Each Signatory Party specifically waives any right it may have to seek reconsideration of or to appeal an order by the Board that approves the Stipulation in the manner provided for herein without modification.
- 13. Rights Upon Disapproval or Modification. This Stipulation contains mutually balancing and interdependent provisions, and is intended to be accepted and approved in its entirety to fully and finally resolve all Phase II filing-related issues. This Stipulation is an integral settlement and the various parts hereof are not severable without upsetting the balance of agreements and compromises achieved among the Signatory Parties. In the event the Board disapproves the Stipulation as a whole, it shall be null and void. In the event that any particular aspect of this Stipulation is not accepted and approved by the Board in its entirety, without modification, or is modified by a court of competent jurisdiction: (i) the Signatory Parties are not waiving any legal or procedural rights, arguments or claims they may have before the Board or in any forum, and (ii) this Stipulation shall, at the option and discretion of any Signatory Party aggrieved thereby, exercised by written notice to the other Signatory Parties within ten days after receipt of any such adverse decision, be null and void in which case the Signatory Parties shall be placed in the same position that they were in immediately prior to its execution.
- 14. Party Reservations. This Stipulation represents a negotiated compromise resolution that has been made exclusively for the purpose of resolving all Phase II filing-related issues. Although binding on the Signatory Parties with respect to the issues resolved herein in these proceedings, this Stipulation, in total or by specific item, is in no way binding upon the Signatory Parties (or non-signatories) and is not to be considered or cited as precedent in favor of

or against their respective positions on any issue in any other proceeding, except to enforce the terms of this Stipulation. The Signatory Parties agree that the resolution of the issues herein shall apply only to the above-captioned case and that any similar future cases shall be reviewed by the Board and the Signatory Parties on an individual basis. This Stipulation is without prejudice to the positions of the respective Signatory Parties or of the Board with respect to any future rate cases or other proceedings involving the Company, except as specifically set forth herein.

- 15. <u>Captions</u>. The subject headings of the sections of this Stipulation are inserted solely for the purpose of convenient reference and are not intended to, nor shall they, affect the meaning of any provision of this Stipulation.
- 16. <u>Governing Law</u>. This Stipulation shall be governed and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of New Jersey.
- 17. <u>Execution</u>. This Stipulation may be executed in one or more counterparts. Each party has caused its duly authorized representative to execute on the following signatory pages and deliver this Stipulation.

Stipulation of Settlement Docket No. ER02100724

ROCKLAND ELECTRIC COMPANY

By John L. Can

Title ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL

NEW JERSEY DIVISION OF THE RATEPAYER **ADVOCATE** SEEMA M. SINGH, ESQ RATEPAYER ADVOCATE

By Sun S. Lewardowski, Esq.

Title Agy't. Deputy Ratepayer Advocate

PETER C. HARVEY ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEW JERSEY ATTORNEY FOR STAFF OF THE BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

By JY Swym

Title DEPUTY ATTURNEY GENERAL



ROCKLAND ELECTRIC COMPANY

ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION RATE CASE DOCKET NO. ER02100724 2nd STAGE RATE FILING REVENUE REQUIREMENT EXHIBITS

	Exhibit	Page
Revenue Requirement Summary	P - 1	Summary
Electric Cost of Service Summary Revenue Annualization Adjustment O&M & Depreciation Adjustments Book Depreciation Calculation Income Tax Calculation	P - 2	1 of 5 2 of 5 3 of 5 4 of 5 5 of 5
Electric Rate Base Summary Plant Additions Working Capital Deferred Income Tax June 30th Spending Summary	P-3	1 of 5 2 of 5 3 of 5 4 of 5 5 of 5
Capital Structure & Cost of Capital	P - 4	Summary

ROCKLAND ELECTRIC COMPANY

ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION RATE CASE DOCKET NO. ER02100724 REVENUE REQUIREMENT SUMMARY (\$000) EXHIBIT P-1 2nd Stage Summary

	OARD HORIZED		 I STAGE PDATE
RATE BASE (Exhibit P-3, Summary)	\$ 5112,316		\$ 5128,549
RATE OF RETURN (Exhibit P-4, Summary)	 8.02%	-	 8.02%
OPERATING INCOME REQUIREMENT	\$ 9,004		\$ 10,305
PRO FORMA OPERATING INCOME (ExhibitP-2, Summary)	 9,004	-	 8,711
OPERATING INCOME DEFICIENCY	-		1,594
RETENTION FACTOR *	 59.05%	-	59.05%
REVENUE REQUIREMENT	\$ <u></u>	_	\$ 2,700

* Calculation of Retention Factor:	%
Additional Revenue	100.00%
Uncollectibles @ 0.17%	0.17%
	99.83%
New Jersey Corporate Business Tax @ 9%	8.99%
	90.85%
Federal Income Tax @ 35%	31.80%
	59.05%

EXHIBIT P-2 2nd Stage Summary Page 1 of 5

ROCKLAND ELECTRIC COMPANY ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION RATE CASE DOCKET NO. ER02100724 ELECTRIC COST OF SERVICE (\$000)

2nd Stage C.O.S.	128,849 1,652	130,501	86,070	(10,804)	32,622	2,679	376	8,361	119,304	11,197	432 2,055	8,711
2	↔											↔
Ref.	(1)				7	(2)					<u>@</u> @	
2nd Stage Update	171	171	ı	1	657	405	•		1,062	(891)	(132) (466)	(293)
2nd Up	↔											₩
ard rized o.S.	128,678 1,652	130,330	86,070	10,804)	31,965	2,274	376	8,361	118,242	12,088	564 2,521	9,004
Board Authorized C.O.S.	\$ 12	13	æ	こ	'n	•			11	₩		€
Board Adjustments	(7,217)	(7,170)	1	•	(2,413)	(3,886)	ı	ı	(5,409)	(1,761)	(129) (455 <u>)</u>	(1,177)
Adju	↔											\$
Company (12+0) Filing	135,895 1,605	137,500	86,070	(10,804)	34,378	5,270	376	8,361	123,651	13,849	693 2,976	10,181
O	↔										J	₩
	Operating Revenues: Sales of Electricity Other Operating Revenues	Total Operating Revenues	Operating Expenses: Purchased Power Expense	Deferred Purchased Power Expense	Other O&M Expenses	Depreciation & Amortization Expense	Amortization of Property Loss	Taxes O Total Operating Revenue Deductions		Operating Income Before Income Tax	State Income Tax Federal Income Tax	Operating Income After Income Taxes

- References:
 (1) Exhibit P-2, Page 2 of 5
 (2) Exhibit P-2, Page 3 of 5
 (3) Exhibit P-2, Page 5 of 5

ROCKLAND ELECRIC COMPANY

ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION RATE CASE DOCKET ER02100724 REVENUE ANNUALIZATION ADJUSTMENT \$ 000's

EXHIBIT P-2 2nd Stage Page 2 of 5

	Service Cla	assification	
	Residential	Secondary	
Revenue Annualization	(SC 1, 3, 5)	(SC 2)_	
Customers at June 30, 2004	62,337	8,037	
Customers at April 30, 2003	62,093	7,959	
Increase in Number of Customers	244	78	
Average Annual Usage per Customer	11,603	69,206	
Additional Usage (kWh)	2,831,132	5,398,068	
Average Distribution Rate	\$0.03227	\$0.03089	
Additional Revenue	\$91,361	\$166,746	\$258,107
Monthly Cost Per Customer Per ECOS (Excl. Primary)	\$15.27	\$45.03	
Annual Cost Per Customer	\$183.24	\$540.36	
Additional Customer Cost	\$44,711	\$42,148	\$86,859
Increase in Operating Income Before Income Tax			\$171,248
Rounded			\$171,000

ROCKLAND ELECRIC COMPANY

ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION RATE CASE DOCKET ER02100724 O&M AND DEPRECIATION ADJUSTMENTS \$ 000's EXHIBIT P-2 2nd Stage Page 3 of 5

Actual Data Through June 30, 2004

Incremental Stage 2 O&M Expenditures For System Reliability Program

Total System Reliability O&M	\$ 657
- Vegetation Management	 278
 Distribution Automation 	41
 Pole Inspection & Treatment 	145
 Lightning Protection 	193

Plant Additions	n Service P-2 Page 3	Composite Depreciation Rate	nual ciation
Darlington Substation	\$ 15,961		
Upper Saddle River Substation	3,825		
System Reliability Projects	444		
Book Depreciation Additions	\$ 20,230	2.00%	\$ 405

EXHIBIT P-2 2nd Stage Page 4 of 5

ROCKLAND ELECTRIC COMPANY ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION RATE CASE DOCKET ER02100724 CALCULATION OF ANNUAL DEPRECIATION EXPENSE

Annual	Depreciation		14,443	217.846	7.232	9.000	42.794	114,152	405,467
Iditions	Reliability		· ·	•	159,990	284,486	. "	•	\$3,825,239 \$444,476 \$
Plant Balance - Stage II Additions	USR		, 69	3,061,260	69,731	82,544	. '	611,704	
Plant Balan	Darlington		937,852	6,751,601	131,869	127,475	2,351,336	5,660,406	\$ 15,960,539
 پ			₩	2	0	2	~	2	↔
ANNUAL	RATE		7.	2.2	2.0	1.82	.08	.8	
	SALVAGE RATE %		0	0	0	0	0	0	
∀ ω	_		65	45	20	22	55	22	
LIFE OR	CURVE		h3.0	h1.5	h1.5	h1.5	h2.0	h1.5	
	ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION	JISTRIBUTION PLANT ACCOUNT	STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS	STATION EQUIPMENT	POLES, TOWERS AND FIXTURES	OVERHEAD CONDUCTORS AND DEVICE	UNDERGROUND CONDUIT	UNDERGROUND CONDUCTOR & DEVICE	Total
FERC ACCT	NUMBER	DISTRIBUTIO	361000 04	362000 04	364000 04	365000 04	366000 04	367000 04	

ROCKLAND ELECTRIC COMPANY

ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION RATE CASE DOCKET NO. ER02100724 INCOME TAX CALCULATION (\$000) EXHIBIT P-2 2nd Stage Page 5 of 5

SCHEDULE M CALCULATION REVENUE ANNUALIZATION INCREMENTAL SYSTEM RELIABILITY O&M DEPRECIATION ON 2ND STAGE ADDITIONS	BOARD THORIZED	nd STAGE JPDATE (171) 657 405	JUSTMENT
LOWER NET INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES			\$ 891
INTEREST SYNCHRONIZATION RATE BASE (Exhibit P-3, Summary) WEIGHTED COST OF DEBT (Exhibit P-4, Summary) PRO-FORMA INTEREST LESS: INTEREST INCLUDED IN COST OF SERVICE ADDITIONAL INTEREST DEDUCTION TOTAL INCOME TAX DEDUCTIONS COMPOSITE INCOME TAX RATE LOWER INCOME TAXES	 112,316 3.53% 3,967	\$ 128,549 3.53% 4,540 (3,967)	\$ 573 1,464 40.85% 598
STATE INCOME TAXES @ 9% FEDERAL INCOME TAXES @ 35% TOTAL INCOME TAXES @ 40.85%	\$ 132 466 598		

EXHIBIT P-3 2nd Stage Page 1 of 5

	2nd Stage Filing \$ 200,491 969 1,614 1,495 204,569	(64,482) (435) (268) (65,185)	6,102 1,111 3,402 10,615	(881) (1,767) (116) (112) (17,080) (1,329) (21,450) 128,549
	(1)	(2)	(3)	4)
	20d Stage Update \$ 20,230	(405) - - (405) 19,825	(40)	(3,552)
	Board Authorized Rate Base \$ 180,261 1,614 1,614 1,614	(64,077) (435) (268) (64,780) 119,559	6, 142 1, 111 3, 402 10,655	(1767) (1767) (116) (122) (13,528) (13,528) (155) (1,329) (1,329)
ROCKLAND ELECTRIC COMPANY ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION RATE CASE DOCKET NO. ER02100724 ELECTRIC RATE BASE (\$000)	Board Adjustments \$ (20,794)	2,996	(374) (374) (374) (56) (295) (351)	(52) - - 41 3,087 - - 1,747 <u>(1529)</u>
KLAND ELECTRIC COMP. RIC DISTRIBUTION RATE DOCKET NO. ER02100724 ELECTRIC RATE BASE (\$000)	Company (12+0) Filing \$ 201,055 969 1,614 1,495 206,133	(67,073) (435) (268) (67,776)	6,516 1,111 3,402 11,029 56 295 351	(829) (1,767) (116) (116) (16,615) (155) (19,645)
ROCKL/ ELECTRIC DOC	ELECTRIC PLANT IN SERVICE ELECTRIC PLANT HELD FOR FUTURE USE NON-INTEREST BEARING CWIP CABLE REHAB INJECTION PROGRAM TOTAL UTILITY PLANT	PLANT DEPRECIATION RESERVE PROPERTY HELD FOR FUTURE USE DEPRECIATION RESE CABLE REHAB INJECTION PROGRAM DEPRECIATION RESI TOTAL DEPRECIATION RESERVES NET PLANT	ADD: WORKING CAPITAL: WORKING CAPITAL: MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES PREPAYMENTS TOTAL UNAMORTIZED R&D EXPENDITURES BPU AUDIT/RAMAPO TAX DEFERRALS	DEDUCT: NET PENSION/OPEB LIABILITY CUSTOMER DEPOSITS CUSTOMER ADVANCES STORM DAWAGE RESERVE ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAXES-PLANT RELAT ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAXES-BOND REDEM TOTAL TOTAL

References; (1) Exhibit P-3, Page 2 of 5 (2) Exhibit P-2, Page 3 of 5 (3) Exhibit P-3, Page 3 of 5 (4) Exhibit P-3, Page 4 of 5

Rockland Electric Company
ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION RATE CASE DOCKET NO. ER02100724 STAGE II PLANT ADDITIONS May 2004 Forward (\$000)

EXHIBIT P-3 2nd Stage Page 2 of 5

Darlington Project	In-Service Cost					
- Substation	\$ 4,119,238					
- U/G Distribution Exits	798,522.0					
- U/G T/L Civil System	4,775,386.0					
- U/G Electrical System	2,384,169.0					
- South Mahwah 138kV Terminals	3,570,215.0					
- 2nd I-287 UG Crossing	53,665.0					
- Distribution Reinforcements	-					
- Distribution Franklin Trpk Dbl Ckt	110,898.0					
- Distribution Hillside Ave	148,446.0					
Darlington Substation		\$	15,960,539			
Upper Saddle River Project						
- Bank Upgrade to 35mVA						
- 69kV Substation Upgrades	778,094.0					
- 2nd Bank and Switchgear	2,043,938.0					
- U/G Distribution Exits	473,834.0					
- Upper Saddle River Distrib Part 2 Lake Rd						
- OH Distribution W. Saddle River Road	152,275.0					
- Line 652	137,871.0					
Upper Saddle River Substation			3,825,239			
System Reliability Projects						
- Lightning Protection	 45,433					
- Dist Automation	236,805					
- Pole Inspect & Treatment	125,757					
- TLM (Pole Reinforcements)	36,481					
System Reliability			444,476			
Total Plant Additions		\$	20,230,254			

EXHIBIT P-3 2nd Stage Page 3 of 5

(0000)

	AUT	AUTHORIZED BY BOARD	SOARD	2	2nd STAGE UPDATE	ATE	
	AMOUNT	LAG DAYS	DOLLAR DAYS	AMOUNT	LAGDAYS	DOLLAR DAYS	DAYS
IOIAL REVENUE REQUIREMENT		43.0			43.0		!
REQUIREMENTS:							
O&R PURCHASED POWER	\$ 17,713	45.0	\$ 797,085	\$ 17.713	45.0	5.	797 085
BGS PURCHASED POWER	68,357	35.8	2,447,181	68,357	35.8	0	2 447 181
DEFERRED PURCHASE POWER	(10,804)	•	•	(10,804)		Î	· ·
SALARIES AND WAGES	9,138	8.2	74,932	9,138	8.2	7	74.932
PENSIONS	3,533	0.5	1,767	3,533	0.5		1.767
EMPLOYEE WELFARE	2,096	46.2	235,435	5.096	46.2	2	235 435
JOINT OPERATING EXPENSES	3,604	45.0	162,180	3,604	45.0	16	162,180
OPERATING RESERVE ACCRUAL	1,166	323.4	377,084	1,166	323.4	37	377.084
UNCOLLECTIBLE ACCOUNTS	221	43.0	9,503	221	43.0	i	9.503
M&S ISSUES	181	•		181)
OTHER O&M	688'6	51.3	507,306	9,889	51.3	20	507.306
2ND STAGE - SYSTEM RELIABILITY O&M	•			657	51.3	. "	33.704
NON-CASH AMORTIZATIONS	1,513	•	•	1,513	,	•	
DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION	5,270	•	٠	5,270			
2ND STAGE - DEPRECIATION		•		405	,		,
AMORTIZATION OF PROPERTY LOSSES	376	٠		376	,		
AMORTIZATION OF GRFT	884	•		884	,		
TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME TAXES	7,478	(36.0)	(269,208)	7.478	(36.0)	90)	(800 990
NJ SALES AND USE TAX	36	35.0	1.260	36	35.0	ì	1 260
NJ SALES TAX	8,250	(51.3)	(423,225)	8 250	(51.3)	(4)	723,1
FEDERAL INCOME TAX	(204)	37.5		(204)	37.5	31)	0,22,0
2ND STAGE - FEDERAL INCOME TAX	· •		•	(466)	37.5		
CBT	693	(46.8)	(32 /32)	603	5.50	Ş	100
2ND STAGE - CBT	8 .	(0.01)	(364,36)	667	(40.0)	<u> </u>	32,432)
RETURN ON INVESTED CAPITAL	10,181		. ,	10 181	(40.0)	-	0,1/8
2ND STAGE - RETURN ON CAPITAL	. 1		•	124	,		
TOTAL REQUIREMENT	\$ 142,571	27.3	\$ 3,888,867	\$ 143,159	27.4	\$ 3,92	3,928,748
NET LEAD/LAG AVERAGE DAILY REQUIREMENT		15.7			15.6 \$ 392		
CASH WORKING CAPITAL REQUIREMENT		\$ 6,142			\$ 6,102		
NET CHANGE - CASH WORKING CAPITAL					\$ (40)		

Rockland Electric Company

ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION RATE CASE DOCKET NO. ER02100724 DEFERRED INCOME TAXES (\$000)

EXHIBIT P-3 2nd Stage Page 4 of 5

Deferred State Income Tax Book & Tax Basis for Assets Tax Depr Rate Tax Depreciation Less: Book Depreciation Net Depreciation Normalized State Income Tax Rate Deferred State Income Taxes	\$ 20,230 3.75% 759 (405) 354 9%	\$ 32
Deferred Federal Income Tax Book & Tax Basis for Assets Bonus Tax Depr. Rate Tax Depreciation Less: Book Depreciation Net Depreciation Normalized Less: Deferred SIT Net Sch. M Deductions State Income Tax Rate Deferred Federal Income Taxes	\$ 20,230 51.875% 10,494 (405) 10,089 (32) 10,057 35%	3,520
Total Deferred Income Taxes		3,552

Rockland Electric Company ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION RATE CASE DOCKET NO. ER02100724 SUMMARY OF ACTUAL & PROJECT SPENDING (\$000)

Total All Years	4,119,238	798,522	4,775,386	2,384,169	3,570,215	53,665	56,621	110,898	148,446	\$16,017,160	1,107,955	778,094	2,043,938	473,834	308,551	152,275	1,237,805	926,032	\$ 7,028,484	45,433	236,805	125,757	36,481	444,476	23,490,120	192,560	144,625	40,600	278,000	655,785
Stage II Spending	4,119,238	798,522	4,775,386	2,384,169	3,570,215	53,665	•	110,898	148,446	\$ 15,960,539	239,227	778,094	2,043,938	473,834		152,275		137,871	\$ 3,825,239	45,433	236,805	125,757	36,481	444,476	20,230,254	192,560	144,625	40,600	278,000	655,785
Remainder FY04 (A)(B)	100,000	20,000	•	•	100,000	•	•	•	-	\$ 250,000	•	•	İ	•	•	•		•	· ·	1	•	•	-		250,000			,		
Spending May '03- June '04	4,019,238	748,522	4,775,386	2,384,169	3,470,215	53,665	•	110,898	- 1	\$ 15,710,539	239,227	778,094	2,043,938	473,834	•	152,275	•	137,871	\$ 3,825,239	45,433	236,805	125,757	36,481	444,476	19,980,254	192,560	144,625	40,600	278,000	655,785
Balance Apr-03		•	•	1	•	•	56,621			\$ 56,621	868,728	•			308,551	•	1,237,805	1	\$ 3,203,245			•			3,259,866				,	•
	_	_	_	_			_		_ ,	Total Darlington	_	_			_	٠.		Line 652 - USR Electric Portion	Total USR				=	Total Incremental Reliability	Total Darlington, USR & Reliability Projects	Incremental Reliability - Lightning Protection	Incremental Reliability-Pole Inspect & Treatment	Incremental Reliability - Dist Automation	Incremental Reliability - Vegetation Management	lotal OBS Incremental Reliability
Nork Order Budget#	D850BX	D845CX	D614CA	T614CA	T613BX	D614CX	D841FX	D607FA	D607FB		D704BA	D704BB	D704BC	D/04CX	D600FX	D601FX	1615CX	T615Ca		D630FA	D895CR	D632FA	T629FA							
Work Ord	40-8890	60-9235	60-9236	0068-09	40-8899	60-9315	40-8996	40-9321	40-9323		40-8893	40-9247	40-9248	60-9206	40-8885	40-9211	60-8847	60-8847		40-9334	40-9212	40-9336	40-9337			46-9334	46-9336	46-9200		

Note (A): Balance remaining for blacktopping and landscaping at Darlington & South Mahwah Substations. (B): Outstanding work on underground exits to be completed in July.

Rockland Electric Company ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION RATE CASE DOCKET NO. ER02100724 CAPITAL STRUCTURE AND COST OF CAPITAL (\$000)

EXHIBIT P-4 2nd Stage Summary

Board Authorized Capital Structure	Percent	Cost Rate	Overall Cost of Capital
Debt	54.00%	6.54%	3.53%
Common Equity	46.00%	9.75%	4.49%
Total	46.00%		8.02%

Schedule B

Outage Restoration Pilot Program. If RECO fails to restore service within 24 hours, it will credit the affected customer's account with \$25 as a special performance measure ("SPM credit"). Under this SPM Pilot Program, RECO will not provide additional \$25 SPM credits to affected customers for each additional 24-hour period. SPM credits shall be limited to power failures attributable to malfunctions in RECO's local distribution system. RECO, along with its affiliates Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc. and Pike County Light & Power Company, operate as an integrated system ("O&R System") in the states of New Jersey, New York, and Pennsylvania. As such, any major events that could occur anywhere in the O&R System could command a significant contingent of the Company's resources to address. Under these circumstances, SPM credits will not be provided when customer outages occur in conjunction with outages that occur anywhere on the O&R System, including RECO's system, due to weather events that can be declared as major storms (as defined under each state's reliability reporting criteria), catastrophic events and other acts of God, events caused by third parties, labor disputes, strikes, outages on the bulk power system caused by transmission, generation or other causes including supply shortages and acts of war or terrorism and other intentional torts. Also excluded will be requests for service interruption by outside agencies including, but not limited to, police departments, fire departments, and regional power coordinating councils. In addition, there will be an overall annual cap on SPM credits of \$25,000. This SPM Credit Pilot Program does not in any way limit the Board's regulatory authority with respect to any service interruptions to RECO's customers, nor does it preclude the Board from investigating any outages, ordering appropriate remedies, or adopting any other measures, with respect to service reliability, including, but not

limited to outage restoration, that it may deem appropriate. Additionally, this SPM credit does not in any way limit any RECO customer's right to pursue any legal remedy it believes is available with respect to a specific outage occurrence affecting said customer. The Signatory Parties agreement to this SPM Credit Pilot Program is limited to the specific facts of this Stipulation and shall not be deemed precedential for any future program for this or any other utility. RECO shall provide to the Board and the Signatory Parties an annual report providing a brief summary of each incident that triggers an SPM credit as well as the total annual SPM credits provided to customers.



	Proposed Distribution <u>Excl SUT</u> (a)	Proposed Distribution SUT (b = a * 6%)	Proposed Distribution Incl SUT (c = a + b)
Service Classification No. 1			
Customer Charge (\$/mo)	3.63	0.22	3.85
Energy:			
First 250 kWh -S (\$/kWh)	0.02888	0.00173	0.03061
First 250 kWh -W (\$/kWh)	0.02888	0.00173	0.03061
Over 250 kWh -S (\$/kWh)	0.03363	0.00202	0.03565
Over 250 kWh -W (\$/kWh)	0.02888	0.00173	0.03061
Water Heat -S (\$/kWh)	0.02367	0.00142	0.02509
Water Heat -W (\$/kWh)	0.02367	0.00142	0.02509
Space Heat -W (\$/kWh)	0.02033	0.00122	0.02155
Service Classification No. 2			
Customer Charge (\$/mo)	6.17	0.37	6.54
Demand:	0.17	0.37	6.54
First 5 kW -S (\$/kW)	0.00	0.00	0.00
First 5 kW -W (\$/kW)	0.00	0.00	0.00
Over 5 kW -S (\$/kW)	2.84	0.00	3.01
Over 5 kW -W (\$/kW)	2.44	0.17	2.59
Energy:	۷.٦٦	0.13	2.59
First 4,920 kWh -S (\$/kWh)	0.03375	0.00203	0.03578
First 4,920 kWh -W (\$/kWh)	0.03087	0.00265	0.03272
Second Block - Summer	0.02189	0.00131	0.02320
Second Block - Winter	0.02189	0.00131	0.02320
Over 60k or 300HU - Summer	0.01123	0.00067	0.01190
Over 60k or 300HU - Winter	0.01123	0.00067	0.01190
Space Heat -S (\$/kWh)	0.03043	0.00183	0.03226
Space Heat -W (\$/kWh)	0.01965	0.00118	0.02083
Service Classification No. 3			
Customer Charge (\$/mo)	4.64	0.28	4.92
Energy:			
Peak -S (\$/kWh)	0.03819	0.00229	0.04048
Peak -W (\$/kWh)	0.03454	0.00207	0.03661
Off Peak - Summer	0.01551	0.00093	0.01644
Off Peak - Winter	0.01551	0.00093	0.01644

	Proposed Distribution <u>Excl SUT</u> (a)	Proposed Distribution <u>SUT</u> (b = a * 6%)	Proposed Distribution Incl SUT (c = a + b)
Service Classification No. 5			,
Customer Charge (\$/mo)	3.63	0.22	3.85
Energy:			
First 250 kWh - Summer	0.02781	0.00167	0.02948
First 250 kWh - Winter	0.02781	0.00167	0.02948
Next 450 kWh -S (\$/kWh)	0.03187	0.00191	0.03378
Next 450 kWh -W (\$/kWh)	0.02781	0.00167	0.02948
Over 700 kWh -S (\$/kWh)	0.03464	0.00208	0.03672
Over 700 kWh -W (\$/kWh)*	0.02859	0.00172	0.03031
Over 700 kWh -W (\$/kWh)	0.03248	0.00195	0.03443
Service Classification No. 7			
Customer Charge (\$/mo)	123.26	7.40	130.66
Demand			
Period I (\$/kW)	1.76	0.11	1.87
Period II (\$/kW)	0.44	0.03	0.47
Period III (\$/kW)	1.61	0.10	1.71
Period IV (\$/kW)	0.44	0.03	0.47
Energy:			
Period I (\$/kWh)	0.01470	0.00088	0.01558
Period II (\$/kWh)	0.01156	0.00069	0.01225
Period III (\$/kWh)	0.01470	0.00088	0.01558
Period IV (\$/kWh)	0.01156	0.00069	0.01225
Space Heat -S (\$/kWh)	0.03041	0.00182	0.03223
Space Heat -W (\$/kWh)	0.01964	0.00118	0.02082

	Proposed	Proposed	Proposed
	Distribution	Distribution	Distribution
	Excl SUT	<u>SUT</u>	Incl SUT
Service Classification No. 4	(a)	(b = a * 6%)	(c = a + b)
Service Classification No. 4	0.70	0.00	
5800 SV (\$/luminaire/mo.) 9500 SV	3.78	0.23	4.01
	4.14	0.25	4.39
16000 SV	5.08	0.30	5.38
27500 SV	6.55	0.39	6.94
46000 SV	10.60	0.64	11.24
16000 SV Offset	10.00	0.60	10.60
27500 SV	8.53	0.51	9.04
46000 SV	12.06	0.72	12.78
1000 OBI	2.53	0.15	2.68
2500 OBI	3.47	0.21	3.68
6000 CB	5.48	0.33	5.81
4000MV	3.43	0.21	3.64
7900 MV	4.12	0.25	4.37
12000 MV	5.40	0.32	5.72
22500 MV	6.95	0.42	7.37
40000 MV	10.68	0.64	11.32
59000 MV	13.64	0.82	14.46
4000 MV	5.14	0.31	5.45
7900 MV	6.37	0.38	6.75
7900 MV Offset	7.43	0.45	7.88
	7.10	0.40	7.00
15 Foot Brackets	0.22	0.01	0.23
Undrg - Co. Owned	8.33	0.50	8.83
Undrg - Cust. Owned	2.02	0.12	2.14
	2.02	0.12	4.14

	Proposed	Proposed	Proposed
	Distribution	Distribution	Distribution
	Excl SUT	SUT	Incl SUT
	(a)	(b = a * 6%)	(c = a + b)
Service Classification No. 6		, ,	(,
5800 SV (\$/luminaire/mo.)	2.42	0.15	2.57
9500 SV	2.93	0.18	3.11
16000 SV	3.19	0.19	3.38
5800 SV	3.32	0.20	3.52
9500 SV	3.67	0.22	3.89
16000 SV	4.54	0.27	4.81
27500 SV	5.89	0.35	6.24
46000 SV	9.69	0.58	10.27
27500 SV	5.89	0.35	6.24
46000 SV	9.69	0.58	10.27
16000 SV Offset	8.71	0.52	9.23
4000 MV	3.71	0.22	3.93
7900 MV	4.37	0.26	4.63
22500 MV	7.11	0.43	7.54
4000 MV	4.06	0.24	4.30
7900 MV	4.73	0.28	5.01
22500 MV	7.50	0.45	7.95
1000 In	3.29	0.20	3.49
2500 In	4.33	0.26	4.59
12000 MV	5.98	0.36	6.34
40000 MV	11.13	0.67	11.80
59000 MV	14.00	0.84	14.84
15 Foot Brackets	0.22	0.01	0.23
Service Classification No. 6			
Customer Charge - Metered	6.25	0.38	6.63
Customer Charge - Unmetered	1.30	0.08	1.38
Energy (kWh) - Summer	0.03518	0.00211	0.03729
Energy (kWh) - Winter	0.03518	0.00211	0.03729

Agenda Date: 7/23/04 Agenda Item: 2C



STATE OF NEW JERSEY

Board of Public Utilities Two Gateway Center Newark, NJ 07102 www.bpu.state.nj.us

DIVISION OF ENERGY

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF ROCKLAND ELECTRIC COMPANY FOR APPROVAL OF CHANGES IN ELECTRIC RATES, ITS TARIFF FOR ELECTRIC SERVICES, ITS DEPRECIATION RATES, AND FOR OTHER RELIEF – PHASE II UPPER SADDLE RIVER SUBSTATION, DARLINGTON SUBSTATION, AND INCREMENTAL		ORDER ADOPTING STIPULATION
RELIABILITY PROGRAM)	DOCKET NO. ER02100724
IN THE MATTER OF ROCKLAND ELECTRIC COMPANY'S ANNUAL SOCIETAL BENEFITS CHARGE FILING))	DOCKET NO. ET04040235

(SERVICE LIST ATTACHED)

BY THE BOARD1:

On March 3, 2004, Rockland Electric Company ("RECO" or the "Company"), a public utility of the State of New Jersey, filed a Phase II petition with the Board of Public Utilities ("Board") seeking approval of an increase in rates for electric distribution service pursuant to N.J.S.A. 48:2-21 and N.J.A.C. 14:1-5.12. The petition sought additional revenues of \$3.1 million (or a 2.0% increase) based on total revenues calculated using current rates and the Company's sales for the twelve months ended December 31, 2003.

On April 2, 2004, RECO also submitted its annual Societal Benefits Charge ("SBC") filing, Docket No. ET04040235, to reconcile any over- or under-recovered balances recovered through the SBC and to provide for current program cost recovery ("SBC Filing").

¹ Commissioner Frederick K. Butler did not participate in the deliberation or vote on this matter.

The Company is a wholly owned subsidiary of Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc. ("O&R"), a New York utility. O&R is a subsidiary of Consolidated Edison, Inc. ("CEI"). RECO provides electric distribution service to approximately 70,000 customers in portions of Bergen, Passaic and Sussex Counties.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On October 1, 2002, the Company filed its original petition in the above-referenced docket requesting an increase in its base electric rates and charges. Among other things, RECO requested inclusion in rate base of costs associated with the Upper Saddle River and Darlington Substation projects as well as costs associated with new and/or enhancements to various reliability programs. Public hearings were held on February 10, 2003 and March 19, 2003 in Montvale.

At the conclusion of comprehensive evidentiary hearings in the Office of Administrative Law ("OAL") and receipt of an Initial Decision from an Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ"), on July 31, 2003 the Board issued a Summary Order, which was followed on April 20, 2004 with a Final Decision and Order ("Final Order") deciding the Company's rate filing as well as its deferred balance filing.² The Board established adjusted base rates effective August 1, 2003 for the Company, reflecting a reduction in revenues of \$7.217 million, a 5.3% decrease. Although the Board disallowed the costs associated with the Upper Saddle River and Darlington Substation projects as well as costs associated with the reliability projects, it authorized RECO to make a Phase II filing not later than September 1, 2004 to address these expenses and the associated flow through impacts. Summary Order at 4; Final Order at 61-62, 65. The Board left the rate case docket open for this purpose.

In accordance with the Summary Order and the Final Order, on March 3, 2004, the Company filed the Phase II petition requesting a base rate increase of \$3.1 million (or 2.0%) based on total revenues calculated using current rates and the Company's sales for the twelve months ended December 31, 2003. The Board retained jurisdiction over the matter as opposed to transmitting it over to the Office of Administrative Law ("OAL").

A prehearing conference was held on June 9, 2004. Representatives of the Company, the Division of the Ratepayer Advocate ("RPA") and Board Staff (collectively, "the parties") participated. There were no intervenors in this proceeding. Evidentiary hearings were scheduled for August 25, 26, and 27, 2004 before the Honorable Carol J. Murphy, Commissioner. In addition discovery deadlines were established and the parties agreed to continue to meet to discuss an amicable resolution of this matter.

Pursuant to the schedule established at the pre-hearing conference, an additional public hearing was held on July 7, 2004 in Montvale at which time no members of the public appeared.

Throughout the discovery period, settlement discussions were held between the parties. After extensive discussions, the parties reached a settlement on all issues in the Phase II rate proceeding ("Stipulation" or "settlement"). ³

² I/M/O the Verified Petition of Rockland Electric Company for the Recovery of Its Deferred Balances and the Establishment of Non-Delivery Rates Effective August 1, 2003, BPU Docket No. ER02080614.

³ Since this matter was never transmitted over to the OAL, the Board retains jurisdiction.

STIPULATION

As set forth in the attached stipulation, the Parties agreed as follows:4

- RECO's Phase II filing was consistent with and satisfied the requirements of the Final Order. It resolves all Phase II issues as identified in the Final Order. (Stipulation numbered paragraph 1).
- RECO's total rate base resulting from this proceeding will be \$128,549,000.
 (Stipulation numbered paragraph 2).
- A revenue increase of \$2.7 million or 1.7% over present revenues is appropriate
 and necessary to ensure that the Company will continue to provide safe,
 adequate and proper electric distribution service to its customers. This Stipulation
 allows the Company to recover the costs of the Upper Saddle River and
 Darlington Substation projects and the added expenditures related to increased
 reliability measures. The determination of the revenue requirements is reflected
 on Schedule A to the settlement. (Stipulation numbered paragraph 2).
- The revenues and rates resulting from the Stipulation reflect application of all the Board's determinations in the Final Order, including those regarding rate of return and capital structure. (Stipulation numbered paragraph 3)
- The \$2.7 million base rate increase will become effective the same day the Company implements a Transition Bond Charge ("TBC") consistent with the securitization transaction approved in the Board's May 26, 2004 Bondable Stranded Rate Order in Docket No. EF02110852. ("Effective Date"). (Stipulation numbered paragraph 4).
- Petitioner's April 2, 2004 annual SBC filing, requesting a decrease in the SBC rate, shall be implemented on a provisional basis, subject to refund and interest pending a full and complete review of the filing by the parties to that matter (Stipulation numbered paragraph 6).
- By implementing the base rate increase and the provisional SBC decrease authorized by the Stipulation on the Effective Date (i.e. concurrently with securitization) RECO will ensure that customers experience only one rate change, which will result in an overall rate decrease. (Stipulation numbered paragraphs 4 and 6).
- RECO agrees to implement an outage restoration prior service performance measure ("SPM") whereby customers will receive a \$25 bill credit if deprived of service for more than 24 hours as a result of a power failure attributable to malfunctions in RECO's local distribution system. However, the SPM is excluded when customer outages occur in conjunction with outages that occur anywhere on the Orange &Rockland ("O&R") system, including RECO's system, due to weather events that can be declared as major storms (as defined under New York and New Jersey's reliability reporting criteria), catastrophic events and other acts of God, events caused by third parties, labor disputes, strikes, outages on the bulk power system caused by transmission, generation or other causes

⁴ This is intended as a summary of the settlement. The full settlement agreement controls.

including supply shortages and acts of war or terrorism and other intentional torts. Also excluded are requests for service interruption by outside agencies, including, but not limited to, police departments, fire departments, and regional power coordinating councils. Additionally, RECO's liability under the outage restoration program is capped at \$25,000. (Stipulation numbered paragraph 5, attachment Schedule B).

DISCUSSION AND FINDINGS

At the outset, it must be recognized that as a result of (1) the provision of the Stipulation whereby RECO has agreed that the \$2.7 million rate increase will not become effective until the Effective Date: (2) RECO's success in the last Basic Generation Service ("BGS") auction in securing BGS for its customers at a reasonable price; and (3) a small decrease in RECO's Societal Benefits Charge approved on a provisional basis, subject to refund and interest as provided herein; the average residential customer using 925 kilowatt hours (kwh) per month will in fact experience an annualized rate decrease of 0.70%.

In addition, the settlement recognizes the importance this Board has placed on providing customers with reliable utility service both by RECO's implementation of new and enhanced reliability programs and agreement to implement an outage restoration service performance pilot measure. As indicated in the Settlement, under the terms of the stipulated SPM Creat Pilot Program, the Board's regulatory authority shall not be limited with respect to any, service interruptions to RECO's customers, nor shall the Board be precluded from investigating any outages, ordering appropriate remedies or adopting any other measures with respect to source reliability, including, but not limited to, outage restoration, that it may deem appropriate. Moreover, the SPM Credit does not in any way limit any NECO customer's right to pursue any legal remedy, it believes is available with respect to a specific outage occurrence affecting sout customer. Additionally, pursuant to the shputation, the SPM Felot Crogram is finate in the specific facts of this stipulation and shall not be deemed, it carefully that any let are integers this or any other utility. Finally, RECO has agreed and is HEREBY DIRECTED to provide that the Board and to the signatory parties an annual a port purplicing that triggers are SPM credit as well as the total annual SPK credits provide the cast made that triggers are SPM credit as well as the total annual SPK credits provide the cast made.

Having reviewed the Stipulation and the Initial Decision, the Board is satisfied and, <u>HEREBY FINDS</u>, that the Stipulation represents a fair and reasonable resolution of the issues and is in the public interest. The Board recognizes that the proposed Stipulation is the result of intense negotiations among the parties and is responsive to and balances the respective needs of both the utility and its customers.

The Board <u>FURTHER FINDS</u> (1) the Parties have voluntarily agreed to the Stipulation; and (2) the Stipulation fully disposes of all issues in controversy and is consistent with the law.

Consequently, effective on the date of this Order, the Board <u>HEREBY ADOPTS</u> the attached Stipulation as its own, incorporating by reference its terms and conditions as if fully set forth herein.

The Board <u>HEREBY DIRECTS</u> the Parties to comply with the terms and conditions incorporated within the Stipulation.

Deleted:
Deleted:
Deleted: future

Deleted: outage prevention or outage occurrences that it may deem appropriate.

Deleted: ies
Deleted: that
Deleted: customer
Deleted: are
Deleted: that

Formatted: Font: Bold

DATED:		BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES BY:
	JEANNE M. FOX PRESIDENT	
		CAROL J. MURPHY COMMISSIONER
CONNIE O. HUGHES COMMISSIONER		JACK ALTER COMMISSIONER
ATTEST:		

The Board <u>HEREBY FURTHER DIRECTS</u> the Company to file revised tariff sheets reflecting the terms and provisions of this Order within ten (10) business days of the issuance of this

Order.

KRISTI IZZO SECRETARY

SERVICE LIST

UM/O OF THE VERIFIED PETITION OF ROCKLAND ELECTRIC COMPANY FOR APPROVAL OF CHANGES IN ELECTRIC RATES, ITS TARIFF FOR ELECTRIC SERVICE, ITS DEPRECIATIONS RATES, AND FOR OTHER RELIEF-- PHASE II UPPER SADDLE RIVER SUBSTATION, DARLINGTON SUBSTATION, AND INCREMENTAL RELIABILITY PROGRAM

BPU DOCKET NO. ER02100724

And

IN THE MATTER OF ROCKLAND ELECTRIC COMPANY'S ANNUAL SOCIETAL BENEFITS CHARGE FILING BPU DOCKET NO. ET04040235

BPU STAFF

Fred Grygiel
Chief Economist
Board of Public Utilities
Two Gateway Center
Newark, New Jersey 07102
PHONE: (973) 648-4852
FAX: (973) 648-4410
fred_grygiel@bpu.state.nj.us

Dennis Moran, Assistant Director Division of Energy Board of Public Utilities Two Gateway Center Newark, New Jersey 07102 PHONE: (973) 648-7664 FAX: (973) 648-2467 dennis.moran@bpu.sate.nj.us

Alex Stern, Esq. Counsel's Office Board of Public Utilities Two Gateway Center Newark, New Jersey 07102 PHONE: (973) 648-3089 FAX: (973) 648-2209 alexstern@bpu.state.nj.us

*Helene Wallenstein, SDAG
Department of Law & Public Safety
Division of Law
124 Halsey Street, 5th Floor
P.O. Box 45029
Newark, New Jersey 07101
PHONE: (973) 648-3441
FAX: (973) 648-3879
wallehel@law.dol.lps.state.nj.us

Jeff Slutzky, Esq., DAG
Department of Law & Public Safety
Division of Law
124 Halsey Street, 5th Floor
P.O. Box 45029
Newark, New Jersey 07101
PHONE: (973) 648-3709
FAX: (973) 648-3879
slutzjef@law.dol.lps.state.nj.us

Nanik Aswani Division of Energy Board of Public Utilities Two Gateway Center Newark, New Jersey 07102 PHONE: (973) 648-2270 FAX: (973) 648-2470 ricky.john @bpu.state.nj.us

*Henry Rich Division of Energy Board of Public Utilities Two Gateway Center Newark, New Jersey 07102 PHONE: (973) 648-4960 FAX: (973) 648-2467 henry.rich@bpu.state.nj.us

*Alice Bator Division of Energy Board of Public Utilities Two Gateway Center Newark, New Jersey 07102 PHONE: (973) 648-2448 FAX: (973) 648-7420 alice.bator@bpu.state.nj.us

*Seema M. Singh, Esq.
Acting Director & Ratepayer Advocate
Division of Ratepayer Advocate
31 Clinton Street, 11th Floor
P.O. Box 46005
Newark, New Jersey 07101
PHONE: (973) 648-2690
FAX: (973) 624-1047
ssingh@rpa.state.nj.us

Ami Morita, Esq.
Division of Ratepayer Advocate
31 Clinton Street, 11th Floor
P.O. Box 46005
Newark, New Jersey 07101
PHONE: (973) 648-2690
FAX: (973) 624-1047
amorita@pa.state.nj.us

William Atzl Consolidated Edison Co. of NY, Inc. 4 Irving Place New York, New York 10003 PHONE: (212) 460-3308 FAX: (212) 228-9412 atzlw@coned.com Pauline Prygrocki Division of Energy Board of Public Utilities Two Gateway Center Newark, New Jersey 07102 PHONE: (973) 648-4950 FAX: (973) 648-2467 pauline.prygrocki@bpu.state.nj.us

Naji Ugoji Division of Energy Board of Public Utilities Two Gateway Center Newark, New Jersey 07102 PHONE: (973) 648-2219 FAX: (973) 648-7420 naji.ugoji@bpu.state.nj.us

Sheila lannaccone Division of Energy Board of Public Utilities Two Gateway Center Newark, New Jersey 07102 PHONE: (973) 648-3705 FAX: (973) 648-7420 sheila.iannaccone@bpu.state.nj.us

Kurt S. Lewandowski, Esq. Division of Ratepayer Advocate 31 Clinton Street, 11th Floor P.O. Box 46005 Newark, New Jersey 07101 PHONE: (973) 648-2690 FAX: (973) 624-1047 klewando@rpa.state.nj.us

*James C. Meyer, Esq. Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Perretti LLP Headquarters Plaza One Speedwell Avenue Morristown, NJ 07962 PHONE: (973) 538-0800 FAX: (973) 538-1984 imeyer@riker.com

John L. Carley, Esq. Consolidated Edison Co. of NY, Inc. 4 Irving Place New York, NY 10003 PHONE: (212) 460-2097 FAX: (212) 677-5850 carleyj@coned.com